

## **ATTACHMENT A REMARKS**

Considering the matters raised in the Office Action in the same order as raised, and turning first to the drawings, Figure 1 has been labeled as Prior Art in the replacement sheet submitted herewith. The replacement sheet has also been otherwise labeled as required. With respect to the other objections raised to the drawings, a replacement sheet for the sheet including Figure 5 has been amended to include a label for the "processing unit" which has also been numbered 22. The "server" 10 and the "network" 12 have also been labeled in Figure 5. In addition, labels have been provided for "terminals" 14 and "TV set" 16. It is respectfully submitted that the drawings in their present form should now be acceptable.

Regarding the layout of the specification, appropriate headings have been provided throughout which generally correspond to those of the suggested guidelines.

With respect to the minor corrections in the specification, all of these have been made along with one or two others. The Examiner is thanked for his help in this regard.

Turning to paragraphs e, f, g and h, all of the suggested changes in the specification have been made.

Claims 1-5 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being "indefinite." While it is respectfully submitted that, for example, the recitation "said time intervals" has sufficient antecedent basis in the claim because of the prior recitation of "staggered time intervals," i.e., that it is not necessary to repeat the entire antecedent recitation, all of the changes suggested by the Examiner have been specifically adopted. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, can properly be withdrawn.

Finally, it is noted that a new claim 6 has been added which corresponds to claim 3 but depends from claim 2.

It is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully solicited.

**END REMARKS**